March 3, 2017

The Honorable Mitch McConnell
Leader, U.S. Senate
Washington, DC  20510

The Honorable Chuck Schumer
Minority Leader, U.S. Senate
Washington, DC  20510

The Honorable Paul Ryan
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC  20515

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Minority Leader, U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC  20515

Dear Majority Leader McConnell, Minority Leader Schumer, Speaker Ryan, and Minority Leader Pelosi:

The undersigned organizations share a commitment to advancing the health and economic security of older adults, people with disabilities, and their families. We are writing to urge you to reject proposals to make radical structural changes to Medicaid – by providing federal funding to the states through block grants or per capita caps. These proposals are designed to reduce federal support to state Medicaid programs, not to better serve Americans who rely on Medicaid to access health and long-term care. Medicaid block grants or per capita caps would impose rigid limits on the amount of federal money available to states for Medicaid, endangering the health and well-being of older adults, people with disabilities, and their families.

Medicaid is a joint federal and state financed program that supports older adults and individuals with disabilities of all ages by paying for their health care, long-term services and supports (LTSS), and by providing essential federal protections for Medicare beneficiaries with low-incomes and assets. The program is a lifeline for older adults, covering more than one in seven (6 million) older Americans in 2015. These are individuals who cannot afford insurance through the private market in any meaningful sense to match their needs. Medicaid coverage is particularly important for older adults and people with disabilities who need services not covered by Medicare, who cannot afford Medicare premiums and cost-sharing, who require mental health care or substance abuse treatment,¹ and who live in rural communities.²

Medicaid also acts as a cornerstone of state economies. By covering health care services for individuals who could not otherwise afford them, Medicaid provides income and jobs to hospitals, private physicians and other health care providers. Today, Medicaid pays for approximately 61 percent of all LTSS expenditures,³ including services in nursing facilities, in various community settings, including assisted living residences and adult foster homes, and in the home. Medicaid also already provides expansive flexibility to states to innovate and deliver care more effectively in ways that improve quality of care while lowering costs.

Proposals to cap Medicaid funding to states, either through block grants or per capita caps, are actually cuts to Medicaid. These proposals would harm older adults and people with disabilities. Under the current structure, the


The federal government pays a fixed share of states’ Medicaid costs, averaging over 60 percent. Under a block grant, the federal government would pay its share of a state’s Medicaid costs only up to an overall fixed amount. Under a per capita cap, the federal government would instead pay its share of a state’s Medicaid costs only up to a fixed amount per beneficiary. The state would be responsible for all costs above the block grant or per capita cap. Unlike the current Medicaid structure, a state experiencing higher than usual enrollment and/or Medicaid spending per enrollee (reflecting changes in a state’s demographics, economy, medical needs, or the introduction of new, lifesaving breakthroughs, for example) would no longer receive matching federal funds above its block grant or cap.

To realize significant federal savings, the cap for each state would have to be set below projected costs. This is usually accomplished by growing the cap amounts for each state at a slower rate than what is currently projected, resulting in the federal funding cuts growing larger each year. Any cap and limited growth rate designed to slow Medicaid funding would also lead to greater cuts than initially projected. Medical costs are unpredictable, reflecting new demand and innovations. If national medical costs rise faster than projected, states would be forced to make further cuts to Medicaid coverage for their residents, leading states to scale back benefits, impose waiting lists, implement unaffordable financial obligations, or otherwise restrict access to needed care for older adults and people with disabilities. Additionally, a decrease in available funds means that states would not be able to provide the upfront investments and incentives needed to help providers transform their practices to provide more integrated services and better care coordination, and lower long term health care costs.

Capping Medicaid funding for the over 10 million older adults and people with disabilities who qualify for Medicaid and are also enrolled in Medicare – also known as dual eligibles – would be particularly problematic. Doing so would create new incentives for states and providers to shift costs to Medicare and would disincentivize state investments that save Medicare money by preventing avoidable hospitalizations, nursing home stays and more.

Medicaid is already a lean program, with spending per beneficiary considerably lower than private insurance and growth in spending per beneficiary slower than private insurance (and expected to continue to grow more slowly in coming years). Therefore, the caps would increasingly force states to cut services and eligibility for everyone who relies on Medicaid. Simultaneously, numerous federal protections, in place for 30 years, and in some cases more than 50 years, could evaporate under a block grant or per capita cap, because states would likely receive federal monies with relatively few requirements. Block grants and per capita caps are nothing more than cuts to Medicaid, reducing the dollars flowing to the states, rationing access to needed care, and threatening job opportunities and growth. These proposals are not focused on improving the Medicaid program, but instead put reducing federal spending over families’ needs. Therefore, we urge you to reject these structural changes to this vital safety net program.

Sincerely,
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The Honorable Susan Collins, Chairman, Senate Special Committee on Aging
The Honorable Bob Casey, Ranking Member, Senate Special Committee on Aging
The Honorable Kevin Brady, Chairman, Committee on Ways & Means
The Honorable Richard Neal, Ranking Member, Committee on Ways & Means
The Honorable Greg Walden, Chairman, Committee on Energy & Commerce
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Ranking Member, Committee on Energy & Commerce